In compliance with Articles 319-22 and 321-133 of the FMA’s General Regulations, Mirova has produced this “Exercise of Voting Rights Report” document, describing how it has exercised its voting rights as shareholder of the UCITS/ AIF1 it manages.

In accordance with Article 314-101 of the FMA’s General Regulations, the exercise of voting rights is an integral part of Mirova’s socially responsible investment strategy.

Mirova believes that aligning its voting policy principles with its investment strategy is essential for encouraging value creation for its clients.
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General Scope

In order to promote the creation of sustainable value for all of its stakeholders, Mirova has drafted a Voting Policy\(^1\) aligned with its sustainable investment strategy.

Accordingly, Mirova began an in-depth, critical reflection in 2015 on the stakes of traditional governance, seeking to define a model based on an entrepreneurial and sustainable vision of companies. This reflection laid the groundwork for a new voting rights policy firmly based on a new governance model. This model is based on four main pillars:

- The development of a long-term shareholder base to support the company's sustainable development
- The creation of governing bodies that serve all stakeholders and address CSR issues,
- The introduction of a compensation policy which is not only fair to all stakeholders, and the inclusion of environmental and social issues in the process for deciding upon incentives and aligning interest, and
- Increased transparency and a better quality of both financial and extra-financial information, through the implementation of an audited reporting system which takes into account sustainable development challenges as well as financial issues.

This approach is based on work by the academic chair of the Mines ParisTech on the subject: “Théorie de l'entreprise. Modèles de gouvernance et création collective” (Theory of a company. Models of governance and collaborative creation) which has been supported by Mirova since 2015.

How the voting process is organized

Our voting activity is organized around two teams, each of which has particular expertise. These teams are charged with ensuring that Mirova’s voting rights are exercised in accordance with the best interests of its unitholders.

**Resolutions are analyzed** by Mirova’s Responsible Investment Research team which is composed of experts in analyzing environmental, social, and governance issues.

Voting decisions are the responsibility of the voting committee which is composed of Mirova’s Director of Research and Management and two Co-Managers of Responsible Investment Research. Managers and extra-financial analysts may be invited to participate in the committee’s deliberations depending on the subject under discussion.

**Mirova's voting rights are exercised** by Ostrum AM’s Middle Office Department, Mirova’s sister company and affiliate of Natixis, according to instructions provided by Mirova as part of a service provider agreement.

\(^1\)Mirova’s Voting Policy is available on our website:
2018 Voting Perimeter

In accordance with AFM regulations regarding the exercise of voting rights of asset management companies (General Regulations Article 321-133) and following the principles defined in its voting policy, Mirova has exercised its voting rights as a shareholder of the UCITS and AIF it manages.

The voting perimeter includes Mirova and affiliated companies (Ostrum US). The following funds are included in this report:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List of funds within Mirova’s 2018 voting perimeter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actions Monde des Salariés Suez ISR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areva Diversifié Obligataire Isr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2V ACTIONS ISR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARREFOUR EQUILIBRE SOLIDAIRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equilibre Solidaire BMS UPSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES Mixte ISR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCPE Impact ISR Rendement Solidaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FODEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fonds Diversifié Et Solidaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPACT ES ACTIONS EUROPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSERTION EMPLOIS DYNAMIQUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROVA EURO SUSTAINABLE EQUITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirova Europe Environmental Equity Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirova Europe Real Estate Securities Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROVA EUROPE SUSTAINABLE EQUITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROVA GLOBAL SUSTAINABLE EQU.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirova Global Sustainable Equity Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirova Global Transition Energy Equity Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mistral 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPCI FRANCEUROPE IMMO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retraite Actions Socialement Responsable Et Solidaire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 2018 voting perimeter included 190 assets held in 21 UCITS and AIF, all of which are managed by Mirova.

Within this voting perimeter, 215 general meetings (GMs) were held in 2018. Mirova has exercised its voting rights for 187 companies at 203 general meetings, making its participation rate 94%.

Mirova did not exercise its voting rights at 12 general meetings due to technical problems (fund migration into proxy systems and administrative systems, validity of power of attorney, etc.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution of Votes by Geographic Area</th>
<th>Number of Companies</th>
<th>In %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Europe, the general meetings of French and German companies accounted for a little over 50% of the voting perimeter (33% and 19% respectively). General meetings of British companies represented slightly more than 15% of the voting perimeter, and those of Dutch companies represented 8%. The
remaining general meetings (24% of the voting perimeter) took place in other countries.

**Instances in which Mirova will not respect its voting policy**

Mirova exercises its voting rights solely in the interest of unitholders and respects the principles set out in its voting policy.

**Conflicts of interests**

In compliance with its voting policy, Mirova exercises its voting rights in the exclusive interest of unitholders and does not participate in the general meetings of entities of the BPCE Group or BPCE Group’s subsidiaries/holdings, the securities of which are traded on the market.

No conflicts of interests arose during the exercise of voting rights in 2018.
Distribution of votes by geographical area

This year, Mirova has chosen to report its votes in terms of the number of companies (rather than in terms of the number of resolutions). This choice permits us to better reflect the number of companies that employ good practices, and to avoid the data being distorted by the number of resolutions brought to a vote within each company.

We'll also indicate the number of companies (by theme) for which:

- we voted in favor of all of the resolutions submitted
- we opposed some of the resolutions submitted
- we opposed all of the resolutions submitted.

Please note that opposed resolutions include resolutions which we voted against, resolutions which we abstained from voting on, and resolutions for which we withheld our vote.

Globally, if we take all companies into account, the average rate of opposition for each general meeting\(^2\) was 31.5%. Although this percentage is relatively high, it's actually down 5 percentage points from 2017. This can be explained by two factors: the breadth of themes which Mirova votes on and variations in governing practices between regions.

Distribution of votes by theme

Four key voting themes stood out over the last year.

- **Balance of powers** Resolutions pertaining to appointing directors to the Board, appointing executives, and appointing employee representatives, as well as resolutions pertaining to how the Board functions.
- **Distribution of value** Resolutions pertaining to the distribution of value among stakeholders: approving dividends, executive compensation, director compensation, and employee savings plans.
- **Transparency of information** Resolutions pertaining mostly to approving accounts and appointing statutory auditors
- **Financial Structure** Resolutions pertaining mostly to increasing or decreasing capital and issuing debt.

Beyond these four themes, which most of the general meetings are structured around, shareholders are sometimes called upon to vote on resolutions pertaining bylaw amendments and the structure and workings of general meetings. Finally, shareholder resolutions are sometimes submitted for consideration.

---

2 Depending on the year and the company, the number of resolutions submitted can vary from less than five to more than 20.

3 Percentage of companies who had at least one resolution opposed by Mirova over the course of the year (vote withheld, abstention, vote against).
Figure 1: Distribution of the themes of the resolutions submitted to general meetings within Mirova’s voting perimeter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Number of Companies</th>
<th>% of companies that proposed resolutions for each theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance of Power</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of Value</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparency of Information</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Structure</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bylaw Amendments</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGM-Related Issues</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shareholder Resolutions</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Companies</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISTRIBUTION OF VOTES BY THEME

Mirova’s Voting Policy is particularly focused on the distribution of value among stakeholders and quality of information, regardless of whether the information is financial, environmental, or social. Because Mirova’s Voting Policy differs significantly from traditional governing principles, Mirova often votes against resolutions on these themes.

Resolutions on the Balance of Power theme were also frequently opposed. Mirova opposed at least one resolution in this theme for about a third of companies. However, company practices relating to this theme seem to be improving: last year Mirova opposed at least one resolution in this theme for 47% of companies.

Mirova also opposed fewer resolutions on the Transparency and Quality of Information theme compared with 2017, which reflects progress on the part of the companies on this theme.

Figure 2: Distribution of votes by theme
DETAILS BY THEME

Balance of powers

The Balance of Power theme consisted of resolutions seeking to change the composition of the Board of Directors or the Supervisory Board. It is important to note that although directors are elected across all markets, the election of censors and employee representatives is particular to France.

Figure 3: Distribution of votes on the Balance of Powers theme

Mirova supports the appointment of competent director with complementary profiles who will act in the long-term interests of the company and its stakeholders. Mirova will decide whether to vote on candidates on the basis of criteria designed to guarantee that all stakeholders are fairly represented. Because of this, the presence of employee representatives as well as women on the Board is important to Mirova. Mirova will also take into account the number of roles candidates will be expected to fill and their ability to unravel strategic questions, to bring a new point of view to debates on long-term company issues, and to supervise the implementation of new strategies is essential, candidates must have enough availability.

Although the total number of resolutions rejected was relatively low, an analysis of each company revealed that Mirova opposed the appointment or reappointment of at least one candidate for around a third of all companies in 2018.

Of the four censor candidates put forward by three companies, Mirova voted to appoint only one. It should also be noted that Mirova is in not in favor of censors on the Board, except in exceptional cases and for short periods where their presence is very helpful.
Distribution of value

The *Distribution of Value* category covers all resolutions concerning the compensation of the various stakeholders that contribute to value creation in a company: shareholders (dividends), employees (savings plans), and manager compensation policies and reports. This category also includes the compensation of directors insofar as the terms of their compensation may have an impact on their ability to perform their duties.

*Figure 4: Distribution of votes on the Balance of Powers theme*

Resolutions having to do with areas such as Compensation Policy and Dividends were the resolutions most often opposed by Mirova.

We questioned the logic of allowing only shareholders to decide issues relating to the fair distribution of value, when these issues affect all stakeholders (employees, directors, and shareholders). Because of this, we often abstained from voting on resolutions on this subject. And because in many markets (including the French market which accounts for a large part of the companies within our voting perimeter) abstaining is often counted as a vote against a resolution, we’ve included resolutions that Mirova abstained from voting on with the resolutions that we opposed.

Mirova opposes resolutions concerning compensation mechanisms and their implementation (compensation reports and long-term incentive plans for managers and/or employees), if they do not include environmental and social performance criteria. Unfortunately, these criteria aren’t often taken into account, meaning that Mirova often opposes resolutions on the issues.

Even in cases where companies include these issues, they often aren’t fully integrated into the company’s strategy and the degree to which they’re included is difficult to quantify. Encouraging companies to include these issues is a large part of why Mirova actively engages in dialogue and helps companies to structure their CSR approach.

And finally, an increased number of companies asked that regulated agreements relating to post-mandate compensation be approved. However,
opposition remains strong and only 10% of companies had all of their agreements approved.

2018 saw a decrease in the number of Say and Pay and executive compensation policies that were submitted to vote, with only 130 companies submitting resolutions compared to 142 in 2017. This is largely explained by the fact that in 2017 France passed the Sapin II law, which required all companies to a compensation policy to vote. In 2018, the only companies who submitted their compensation policies to a vote, did so solely because of changes in their principles. However, there is still significant opposition, and 71% of companies saw all their resolutions on this subject rejected (up 5 percentage points from 2017). In the same vein, fewer companies submitted resolutions on variable compensation this year. Here too, opposition is strong, and only 17% had all of the resolutions they submitted on this topic approved.

Transparency and Information Quality

Resolutions having to do with Transparency and Quality of Information are particularly important to Mirova. Because quality information is essential for making good investment decisions, a company must prove that it provides transparent, relevant, and reliable information.

Figure 5: Distribution of votes on the Transparency and Information Quality theme

For this theme, resolutions relating to the appointment and compensation of auditors were the most frequently opposed resolutions. This type of resolution was submitted in most European markets (Germany, France, and the UK) and also in some North American markets (U.S. and Canada). Mirova maintained that auditors should remain independent in exercising their functions and did not support their re-election if their term exceeded 18 years in length. Similarly, Mirova was opposed to auditors providing services unrelated to auditing. The 2016 European Audit Reform, which introduced better practices for rotating between service providers and for services other than account creation, allowed us to support a greater number of resolutions in this area (14% of
companies had all of their resolutions approved and 7% have some of their resolutions approved, in contrast to 11% and 5% respectively in 2017). In the United States, on the other hand, the difference between standard practices and Mirova’s expectations remains pronounced, which has led Mirova to vote against all the resolutions of many American companies on this theme.

In the French system, all transactions made between a company and one of its corporate officers (managers and directors) are generally subject to a shareholder vote. Which explains why the majority of the resolutions submitted concerned the French market. Opposition lessened in 2018, and only a little over a quarter of companies who submitted resolutions on this theme had their resolutions opposed. Reasons for opposition were either related to the existence of an agreement between the company and a financial holding linked to one of the company’s shareholders or to a service provision agreement between the company and one of its Board members (or an affiliated company).

Concerning final discharge, our level of opposition can be explained mostly by our opposition to these resolutions in countries where such a vote prevents shareholders from filing a legal suit. Mirova also opposed resolutions if a company did not have a CSR committee as part of its Board.

As usual, the subject of donations garnered the most opposition: in 2018 Mirova did not vote in favor of any resolutions related to this subject. These resolutions were primarily aimed at allowing companies to finance political parties, which could result in conflicts of interest.

There were no resolutions submitted this year for the Account Approval theme.

Financial Structure

Because our goal is to allocate capital to long-term company development, Mirova pays particular attention to justifications for takeover operations and capital reduction.

*Figure 6: Distribution of votes on the Financial Structure theme*

Mirova did not support capital reduction resolutions unless they compensated for potential dilution due to capital increases from employee compensation and
employee shareholding. The resulting accretion could actually be another way to compensate shareholders to the detriment of other stakeholders. This rule applied to a little over half of the total number of companies who submitted a resolution in this theme to vote in 2018.

Mirova also looks for the existence of anti-takeover mechanisms. Mirova opposes authorizations to increase capital through private placement if there is unjustified risk of minority shareholder dilution. This category also includes general authorizations of capital increases which can be used during takeover periods. Mirova’s analysis of these mechanisms always takes into account risks that could affect the company and its long-term strategy. Mirova expects governing bodies to be representative of a company’s stakeholders (diverse types of stakeholders, members with diverse skill sets) in order to ensure that decisions made are in the long-term interest of the company in the case of a takeover. These expectations explain why Mirova supported so few of the resolutions proposed on this theme. Mirova approved all the resolutions submitted of 6% of companies.

Finally, for the other dilutive and non-dilutive capital increases, Mirova voted according to the dilution limits recommended by its voting policy. Opposition to resolutions concerning dilutive capital increases mostly affected companies that proposed a dilution level above 50%, whereas opposition to non-dilutive solutions mostly affected companies (primarily French) that proposed a dilution level above 10%.

**Bylaw Amendments**

The Bylaw amendments theme primarily concerns European companies.

*Figure 7: Distribution of votes on the Bylaw Amendments theme*

Opposition to bylaw amendments is determined mostly by governance topics. As in 2017, about half of all companies who submitted resolutions on bylaw amendments related to governance were opposed by Mirova.
Bylaw amendments relating to the financial structure of the company were more successful, only 3 companies had their resolutions opposed (out of the 17 who submitted resolutions on this theme).

**Shareholder Resolutions**

In total, Mirova voted on 60 shareholder resolutions in 2018 (vs. 43 in 2017), the majority of which pertained to governance issues and, to a lesser extent, social issues. More than 45% of these resolutions were submitted by American companies.

*Figure 8: Distribution of votes on the Shareholder Resolutions theme*

In general, Mirova votes on shareholder propositions on a case-by-case basis when they pertain to social, political, or environmental issues. Mirova’s in-depth analysis verifies:

- the reasonable nature of the proposal and the validity of the justification provided,
- the impact on the company’s short-term to long-term strategy,
- the company’s exposure to these issues (impact on reputation, operational risk, etc.),
- the capacity and legitimacy of the company to act on the subject (vs. government responsibility),
- the responses provided by the company to the request submitted in the proposal and changes in company practices over recent years,
- the practices established by peers in the sector.

Mirova generally supports any resolution that encourages companies to adopt more responsible practices. Because of this, we support all resolutions calling for greater transparency relating to the company’s general CSR strategy or policy.

Shareholder resolutions on the Environment topic have included requests for increased transparency in the fight against Climate Change as well as the
mitigation of environmental impacts. Mirova supported two of the resolutions submitted on this sub-theme.

Shareholder resolutions on the Governance topic covered a wide range of subjects, including changes in capital structure to make shareholders more equal, increasing diversity on the Board, and the performance criteria used to calculate executive compensation. Mirova supported just under 60% of the resolutions submitted by companies on this theme. Mirova opposed resolutions if the company’s current practices and results didn’t justify the changes.

Shareholder resolutions on Social topics also covered a wide range of subjects. One of the most frequent subjects raised was reducing the wage gap. If the company’s practices justified such a change, we supported resolutions relating to this topic. However, as for resolutions relating to governance, if the company’s current practices didn’t justify such a change, Mirova opposed the resolution.
Distribution of votes by geographic area

Our voting choices are largely a reflection of the application of our Voting Policy across different themes. However, there are also significant differences between governance practices in Europe and North America which also affects our choices.

EUROPE

Figure 9: Distribution of votes in Europe

In 2018, at least one resolution was opposed in more than 94% of European companies. No company received only votes in favor of their resolutions.

The Transparency of Information theme received a high percentage of favorable votes this year in Europe. Only one company had all of its resolutions opposed by Mirova, and a significant proportion of companies (a little less than a third as opposed to 20% last year) had all of their resolutions approved by Mirova. This data reflects the efforts of companies to improve transparency in 2018.

The Distribution of Value remains a theme for which Mirova often votes against resolutions. The vast majority of European companies had resolutions for this theme opposed by Mirova. Mirova voted in favor of all the resolutions of 3 companies (out of 124).

Finally, this year Mirova supported shareholder resolutions during the general meetings of four companies. We didn’t support any shareholder resolutions the previous year.

NORTH AMERICA

In North America, the rate of opposition is slightly higher than in Europe, at least one resolution was opposed during 95% of general meetings.
As is true every year, the Balancing Authorities theme affects all companies. This is due in part to the practice of renewing Board memberships annually. In 2018, 60% of companies had the nomination of at least one of their Board member candidates opposed, on par with 2017.

Resolutions on the Distribution of Value theme are also often opposed in this area. The resolutions of almost all companies were opposed for this theme. This opposition was largely in response to resolutions to approve compensation reports and variable compensation in the U.S.

Finally, for the Transparency and Quality of Information theme, opposition was largely in response to resolutions on the appointing and reappointing of auditors in the United States, where these practices are much less regulated than they are in Europe, and therefore further from Mirova’s expectations.
Other Statistics

Distribution of votes by resolution

Of the 203 general meetings at which votes were cast and confirmed, Mirova voted on 3,186 resolutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographic Area</th>
<th>Number of resolutions</th>
<th>In %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>2,517</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,186</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of these 3,186 resolutions:

- Mirova voted in favor of 2,206 resolutions (69%);
- Mirova voted against 652 resolutions (20%);
- Mirova abstained from voting on 328 resolutions (10%).

Of the 3,186 resolutions submitted to a vote, 3,125 were proposed by company management or Executive or Advisory Boards. Mirova voted against 634 of these resolutions (20%) and abstained from voting on 327 of these resolutions (10%).

Out of the 61 shareholder resolutions proposed, Mirova supported 42 (69%).

Mirova opposed at least one resolution at 190 general meetings (93.6%). The average rate of opposition for each general meeting\(^4\) was 30.3%.

The percentage of resolutions that Mirova voted against is higher this year in Europe (32%) than in the U.S. (26%) or in Asia (29%), because of the variety of the themes presented at general meetings in Europe. For example, 89% of resolutions in the Distribution of Value theme and 87% of resolutions on the Transparency of Information theme were in Europe, two themes for which Mirova often votes against resolutions.

Given the importance of these themes, which are central to Mirova’s voting principles as well as to American and European general meetings, the 2018 voting results analysis will focus on these two geographic regions within the voting perimeter.

\(^4\) This rate includes meetings at which Mirova voted against at least one resolution, abstained from voting, or withheld its vote.
Details on General Meeting Votes

Mirova now has a platform available on its website that details all Mirova votes on resolutions presented at the general meetings of companies held in its voting funds (not including dedicated funds). This platform is available to the public, in compliance with the AMF’s regulations (articles 319-21 et 321-132): [https://vds.issgovernance.com/vds/#/OTAyNg==/](https://vds.issgovernance.com/vds/#/OTAyNg==/).
Legal Information

This information is intended exclusively for professional clients as defined by MiFID. If this is not the case, and if you receive this document and/or any attachment by mistake, please destroy it and report it to Mirova immediately.

The products or services do not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or specific needs of the recipient. Mirova cannot be held liable for financial losses or any decision taken on the basis of the information contained in this document and does not provide any advice, in particular with regard to investment services.

This document is non-contractual and for information purposes only. It is strictly confidential and the information it contains is the property of Mirova. It cannot be transmitted to anyone without Mirova’s prior written consent. Similarly, any reproduction, even partial, is prohibited without Mirova’s prior written consent. Distribution, possession or delivery of this document in or from certain jurisdictions may be restricted or prohibited by law. Anyone receiving this document is asked to check for and comply with any such limitations or prohibitions.

No information contained in this document may be interpreted as being contractual in any way. The information contained in this document is based on current circumstances, intentions and directions and may be subject to change. Mirova bears no responsibility for the descriptions and summaries contained in this document. Mirova does not commit itself in any way to guarantee the validity, accuracy, durability or exhaustiveness of the information mentioned in this document. Mirova therefore assumes no responsibility for any information, in any form, contained, mentioned or implied, in this document or in the event of any omissions. All financial information, particularly on prices, margins or profitability, is indicative and may change at any time, particularly in the light of market conditions. Mirova may change or remove this information at any time without notice. More generally, Mirova, its parent companies, subsidiaries, reference shareholders, the funds it manages and their respective directors, officers, partners, agents, representatives, employees or advisors disclaim any liability towards the readers of this document or their advisors regarding the characteristics of this information. Moreover, this document shall in no way imply any implicit obligation on any party to update the information contained therein.

ADDITIONAL NOTES

This material has been provided for information purposes only to investment service providers or other Professional Clients, Qualified or Institutional Investors and, when required by local regulation, only at their written request. This material must not be used with Retail Investors.
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In Switzerland: Provided for information purposes only by Natixis Investment Managers, Switzerland Sàrl, Rue du Vieux Collège 10, 1204 Geneva, Switzerland or its representative office in Zurich, Schweizergasse 6, 8001 Zürich.

In the U.K.: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers UK Limited which is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (register no. 190258). This material is intended to be communicated to and/or directed at persons (1) in the United Kingdom, and should not to be regarded as an offer to buy or sell, or the solicitation of any offer to buy or sell securities in any other jurisdiction than the United Kingdom; and (2) who are authorised under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA 2000); or are high net worth businesses with called up share capital or net assets of at least £5 million or in the case of a trust assets of at least £10 million; or any other person to whom the material may otherwise lawfully be distributed in accordance with the FSMA 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 or the FSMA 2000 (Promotion of Collective Investment Schemes) (Exemptions) Order 2001 (the "Intended Recipients"). The fund, services or opinions referred to in this material are only available to the Intended Recipients and this material must not be relied nor acted upon by any other persons. Registered Office: Natixis Investment Managers UK Limited, One Carter Lane, London, EC4V 5ER.

In the DIFC: Provided in and from the DIFC financial district by Natixis Investment Managers Middle East (DIFC Branch) which is regulated by the DFSA. Related financial products or services are only available to persons who have sufficient financial experience and understanding to participate in financial markets within the DIFC and qualify as Professional Clients as defined by the DFSA. Registered office: Office 603 - Level 6, Currency House Tower 2, PO Box 118257, DIFC, Dubai, United Arab Emirates.


In Taiwan: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Securities Investment Consulting (Taipei) Co., Ltd., a Securities Investment Consulting Enterprise regulated by the Financial Supervisory Commission of the R.O.C . Registered address: 16F-1, No. 76, Section 2, Tun Hwa South Road, Taipei, Taiwan, Da-An District, 106 (Ruentex Financial Building I), R.O.C., license number 2012 FSC SICE No. 039, Tel. +886 2 2784 5777.

In Singapore: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Singapore (name registration no. 53102724D) to distributors and institutional investors for informational purposes only. Natixis Investment Managers Singapore is a division of Natixis Asset Management Asia Limited (company registration no. 199801044D). Registered address of Natixis Investment Managers Singapore: 10 Collyer Quay, #14-07/08 Ocean Financial Centre, Singapore 049315.

In Hong Kong: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Hong Kong Limited to institutional/corporate professional investors only.
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In Australia: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Australia Pty Limited (ABN 60 088 786 289) (AFSL No. 246830) and is intended for the general information of financial advisers and wholesale clients only.

In New Zealand: This document is intended for the general information of New Zealand wholesale investors only and does not constitute financial advice. This is not a regulated offer for the purposes of the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 (FMCA) and is only available to New Zealand investors who have certified that they meet the requirements in the FMCA for wholesale investors. Natixis Investment Managers Australia Pty Limited is not a registered financial service provider in New Zealand.

In Latin America: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers S.A.

In Chile: Esta oferta privada se inicia el día de la fecha de la presente comunicación. La presente oferta se acoge a la Norma de Carácter General N° 336 de la Superintendencia de Valores y Seguros de Chile. La presente oferta versa sobre valores no inscritos en el Registro de Valores o en el Registro de Valores Extranjeros que lleva la Superintendencia de Valores y Seguros, por lo que los valores sobre los cuales ésta versa, no están sujetos a su fiscalización. Que por tratarse de valores no inscritos, no existe la obligación por parte del emisor de entregar en Chile información pública respecto de estos valores. Estos valores no podrán ser objeto de oferta pública mientras no sean inscritos en el Registro de Valores correspondiente.

In Uruguay: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Uruguay S.A., a duly registered investment advisor, authorised and supervised by the Central Bank of Uruguay. Office: San Lucar 1491, oficina 102B, Montevideo, Uruguay, CP 11500. The sale or offer of any units of a fund qualifies as a private placement pursuant to section 2 of Uruguayan law 18,627.

In Colombia: Provided by Natixis Investment Managers S.A. Oficina de Representación (Colombia) to professional clients for informational purposes only as permitted under Decree 2555 of 2010. Any products services or investments referred to herein are rendered exclusively outside of Colombia. This material does not constitute a public offering in Colombia and is addressed to less than 100 specifically identified investors. In Mexico: Provided by Natixis IM Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V., which is not a regulated financial entity or an investment manager in terms of the Mexican Securities Market Law (Ley del Mercado de Valores) and is not registered with the Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores (CNBV) or any other Mexican authority. Any products, services or investments referred to herein that require authorization or license are rendered exclusively outside of Mexico. Natixis Investment Managers is an entity organized under the laws of France and is not authorized by or registered with the CNBV or any other Mexican authority to operate within Mexico as an investment manager in terms of the Mexican Securities Market Law (Ley del Mercado de Valores). Any use of the expression or reference contained herein to “Investment Managers” is made to Natixis Investment Managers and/or any of the investment management subsidiaries of Natixis Investment Managers, which are also not authorized by or registered with the CNBV or any other Mexican authority to operate within Mexico as investment managers. The above referenced entities are business development units of Natixis Investment Managers, the holding company of a diverse line-up of specialised investment management and distribution entities worldwide. The investment management subsidiaries of Natixis Investment Managers conduct any regulated activities only in and from the jurisdictions in which they are licensed or authorized. Their services and the products they manage are not available to all investors in all jurisdictions. It is the responsibility of each investment service provider to ensure that the offering or sale of fund shares or third-party investment services to its clients complies with the relevant national law. The provision of this material and/or reference
to specific securities, sectors, or markets within this material does not constitute investment advice, or a recommendation or an offer to buy or to sell any security, or an offer of any regulated financial activity. Investors should consider the investment objectives, risks and expenses of any investment carefully before investing. The analyses, opinions, and certain of the investment themes and processes referenced herein represent the views of the portfolio manager(s) as of the date indicated. These, as well as the portfolio holdings and characteristics shown, are subject to change. There can be no assurance that developments will transpire as may be forecasted in this material. Past performance information presented is not indicative of future performance. Although Natixis Investment Managers believes the information provided in this material to be reliable, including that from third party sources, it does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy, or completeness of such information. This material may not be distributed, published, or reproduced, in whole or in part.

All amounts shown are expressed in USD unless otherwise indicated.
MIROVA
Asset Management company – French Public Limited liability company
RCS Paris n°394 648 216 - Regulated by AMF n° GP 02-014
59, Avenue Pierre Mendes France – 75013 - Paris
Mirova is an affiliate of Natixis Investment Managers

Natixis Investment Managers
French Public Limited liability company
RCS Paris n°329 952 681
43, avenue Pierre Mendes France – 75013 – Paris
Natixis Investment Managers is a subsidiary of Natixis

Natixis Investment Managers International, S.A.
Asset Management company – French Public Limited liability company
RCS Paris n°329 450 738 - Regulated by AMF n° GP 90-009
43, avenue Pierre Mendes France – 75013 – Paris

Mirova U.S., LLC
888 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02199; Tel: 212-632-2803
Mirova U.S, LLC (Mirova US) is a U.S. - based investment advisor that is wholly
owned by Mirova. Mirova is operated in the U.S. through Mirova US. Mirova US and
Mirova entered into an agreement whereby Mirova provides Mirova US investment
and research expertise, which Mirova US then combines with its own expertise, and
services when providing advice to clients.